u	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/695,642	SASHIDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanhha Pham	2813
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Thanhha Pham</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Darrin Auito</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 February 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 5, 13-17, 20 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		<u></u>
		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 02/21/2006, Examiner proposed unobviousnes of claim 5 in combination with claim 1. Examiner suggested to put limitation of claim 5 to claim 1 to overcome art of record and if independent claim 1 is allowable, some valid non-elected dependent claims can be allowed. However, some amendment are also needed to have proper format of dependent claims if claim 1 is allowed. (see attachment for details being faxed to Applicant's Representative for review). On 02/24/2006, Applicant asked for Office Action to send out. Examiner sends out Office Action with rejected claims 1-4, 7-11 and objected claims 5 & 15 for Applicant to review. Claims 12-14 and 16-21 stand withdrawn from consideration.